Influence of electron-acoustic phonon scattering on off-resonant cavity feeding within a strongly coupled quantum-dot cavity system
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We present a quantum optics approach to describe the influence of electron-acoustic phonon coupling on the emission spectra of a strongly coupled quantum-dot cavity system. Using a canonical Hamiltonian for light quantization and a photon Green function formalism, phonons are included to all orders through the quantum-dot polarizability function obtained within the independent boson model. We derive simple user-friendly analytical expressions for the linear quantum light spectrum, including the influence from both exciton- and cavity-emission decay channels. In the regime of semiconductor cavity QED, we study cavity emission for various exciton-cavity detunings and demonstrate rich spectral asymmetries as well as cavity-mode suppression and enhancement effects. Our technique is nonperturbative and non-Markovian, and can be applied to study photon emission from a wide range of semiconductor quantum-dot structures, including waveguides and coupled cavity arrays. We compare our theory directly to recent and apparently puzzling experimental data for a single site-controlled quantum dot in a photonic crystal cavity and show good agreement as a function of cavity-dot detuning and as a function of temperature.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The influence of electron-acoustic phonon scattering is a well-known effect in semiconductor quantum dots (QDs) for over a decade. One of the first approaches to calculate the influence of the phonon scattering was based on Fermi’s golden rule. The characteristic spectral lineshape of longitudinal acoustic (LA) phonon scattering on electron-hole pairs (“excitons”) in quasihomogeneous semiconductor structures is well described, beyond perturbation theory, through the independent boson model (IBM), and IBM simulations have shown good agreement with experiments. Due to the interplay of phonon emission and absorption, the LA phonon bath manifests in spectral lineshapes that are highly asymmetric at low temperature and sit on the background of the symmetric zero phonon line (ZPL). In addition to the IBM lineshape, the broadening of the ZPL is usually described phenomenologically, although this can be reliably fit to experiments, however, there is still some controversy as to the origin of the ZPL broadening, which can include contributions from radiative broadening, spectral diffusion, anharmonicity effects, phonon scattering from interfaces, and a modified phonon spectrum.

While it is well known that the phonons cause the exciton lineshapes to be highly non-Lorentzian (stemming from non-Markovian decay), most of the present QD cavity quantum electrodynamics (QED) theories only add in a Lorentzian broadening mechanism for the QD excitons.

Recently, there have been several studies of the role of electron-phonon coupling in semiconductor QD cavity systems. Wilson-Rae and Imamoglu treated the phonon interaction with QDs using polaron Green functions and derived an analytic linear absorption lineshape when the dot and cavity are on resonance; in the polaron representation, new phonon-induced interaction terms are introduced exactly, while a second-order Born approximation was applied to include “residual” exciton-photon-phonon bath coupling effects. Polaron and time-convolutionless master-equation approaches have also been recently employed to describe (i) phonon-induced decay of optical pulse-excited QDs in the absence of any cavity coupling, and (ii) the phonon-dressed Mollow triplet in the regime of cavity QED. numerically solved the IBM and coupled the QD susceptibility to a photonic crystal cavity system through a semiclassical Green function approach, demonstrating asymmetries in the on-resonance Rabi doublet, a reduction of QC cavity coupling, and the effect of increasing temperature for both the cavity-emitted spectra and the side-coupled waveguide transmission. Xue et al. have applied perturbation theory to study the phonon-induced decoherence on vacuum Rabi oscillations as a function of detuning between the cavity mode and exciton. Kaer et al. also explored off-resonant interactions between the QD and a cavity using a numerical solution to the system master equations within a time-convolutionless approach. With incoherent excitation, Ota et al. numerically solved the Wilson-Rae and Imamoglu master equation, and demonstrated the importance of asymmetric off-resonance coupling and non-Markovian relaxation, finding good agreement with their experiments. introduced a useful model to
derive an effective phonon-mediated scattering rate (see also Ref. 19), and has found good agreement with experiments in the weak-coupling regime.23

From an experimental viewpoint, significant off-resonant coupling between an exciton and a cavity has been seen in a number of semiconductor QD cavity systems (e.g., see Refs. 24–28); and several theoretical and experimental works have tried to explain the basic coupling mechanisms,29–33 most of which stem from the exciton broadening mechanisms and the simple physics of two coupled oscillators. Given the importance of exciton decay processes on the QD cavity coupling, one can therefore expect that the interaction of phonons can play a qualitatively important role when certain coupling conditions are met. However, while the aforementioned theoretical phonon studies are interesting in their own right, unfortunately, none of them present simple analytical spectra that allow one to explore a wide range of coupling phenomena both on and off resonance with a strongly coupled cavity. Thus, many of the experimental, and theoretical, groups continue to use the only available analytical formulas with no phonon coupling directly included (e.g., see Ref. 34).

Here we apply a photon Green function method to derive useful analytical spectra, with phonons included to all orders through the exciton polarizability. Although a similar semiclassical Green function approach was presented by Milde et al.,18 only on-resonance conditions were studied and the IBM was solved numerically. Tarel and Savona35 have recently presented a semiclassical Green function spectra with phonons included to second order (also previously presented in Ref. 18), where numerical solutions of the phonon baths were exploited.

We first study leaky cavity emission with and without phonons and briefly connect the results to the recent work of Ota et al.23 and find good qualitative agreement with their observations, namely, pronounced asymmetries for high- or low-energy cavity coupling and an asymmetric vacuum Rabi doublet (at low temperatures, $T \approx 4 \text{K}$); we also demonstrate that phonon-induced cavity suppression can occur, which is an effect that stems from the real part of the phonon self-energy. Second, we compare directly with recent experimental measurements by Dalacu et al.,34 who studied QD cavity coupling in single site-controlled QDs and found that the data could not be fit without adding in some unknown (detuning-dependent) cavity-pump term; in contrast, we show that these experiments can be well reproduced using our analytic model with no cavity-pump term included at all. Importantly, our general theoretical approach can be applied to a wide range of systems, including waveguides, and it rigorously applies to both weak- and strong-coupling regimes and contains phonons to all orders (at the level of the IBM). We also show higher temperature results (10–40 K) and systematically compare these with experimental data. In our experimental-theory analysis, we include two decay channels, accounting for both the radiation-mode emission and the leaky cavity emission.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the basic theory and analytical formulas for calculating the emitted spectra from a strongly coupled QD-cavity system in the presence of electron-acoustic phonon coupling. Examples of computed emission spectra with and without phonon coupling are shown in Sec. III. Section IV compares our theory with recent data on site-controlled single QDs in photonic crystal cavities,34 and demonstrates the significant influence of electron-phonon coupling. In Sec. V, we conclude.

II. THEORY AND ANALYTICAL SPECTRA

For this paper, we are interested in the linear spectrum and thus consider a QD cavity system that is weakly pumped, incoherently, where the emission dynamics stems from an excited electron-hole pair. In general, there have been several theoretical approaches to this problem in the literature. Two of the most powerful methods include the Green function approach33 and the quantum master-equation technique.36–38 A major advantage of the Green function approach is that one can obtain analytical spectra for any inhomogeneous and lossy structures, including lossy metamaterial waveguides19 and a variety of coupled cavity-waveguide systems.40,41 In contrast, a key advantage of the master-equation approach is the ease with which it adds in additional dissipation effects such as pure dephasing, although at the level of obtaining emission spectra, both Green function and master-equation approaches can be equivalent. A significant disadvantage of the master-equation approach is that it is typically limited to simple leaky cavity systems with a Lorentzian decay, i.e., Markovian theory. Master-equation solutions can also consider more realistic initial conditions, such as those obtained through steady-state pumping. Recent work of Roy and Hughes17 also shows how a time-convolutionless master-equation formalism can include LA phonons and cavity photons to all orders, which is more important in the regime of resonance fluorescence and coherent excitation.

The Green function approach to obtaining the electric-field operator has been described elsewhere.33,42,43 Here, we will briefly highlight the theoretical background and concentrate on presenting the general expressions for the field operator and the spectra. At first, we neglect nonradiative broadening on the exciton decay (although this will be added back in later), which allows us to obtain the exact analytical field operator without coupling to phonons. Specifically, we use a canonical Hamiltonian that quantizes the macroscopic electromagnetic fields and exploit the dipole approximation for the QD medium coupling,

$$
\hat{H} = \hbar \omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_x^+ \hat{\sigma}_x^- + \sum_\lambda \hbar \omega_\lambda \hat{a}_\lambda \hat{a}_\lambda^\dagger - i \hbar \sum_\lambda (\hat{\sigma}_x^+ + \hat{\sigma}_x^-)(g_\lambda \hat{a}_\lambda - g_\lambda^* \hat{a}_\lambda^\dagger),
$$

(1)

where $\hat{a}_\lambda$ represents the field mode operators, $\hat{\sigma}_x^{\pm}$ are the Pauli operators of the QD excitons, $\omega_\lambda$ is the eigenfrequency corresponding to the transverse modes of the system $|f_\lambda(r)\rangle$ (excluding the dot), and $g_\lambda$ is the field-dot coupling coefficient, defined through $g_\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{2\hbar \omega_\lambda}} \mathbf{p}_\lambda \cdot \mathbf{f}_\lambda(r)$, with $\mathbf{p}_\lambda = n_\lambda \mu_\lambda$ the dipole moment of the exciton, aligned along $n_\lambda$ (a unit vector). We consider only one target exciton in the spectral region of interest for the coupled QD and assume that coupling to the other polarized exciton(s) is negligible.

The Heisenberg equations of motion for the operators can be used to derive the electric-field operator.35,43 Considering a weak excitation condition (i.e., we neglect higher-order photon-correlation effects, which is valid in these systems for
weak powers\textsuperscript{38}, and assuming an excited QD in vacuum, we derive the quantum field operator\textsuperscript{33}
\[ \hat{E}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \frac{G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \omega) \cdot \hat{d}_s(\omega)/\varepsilon_0}{1 - \mathbf{n}_i \cdot G(\mathbf{r}_d, \mathbf{r}_d'; \omega) \cdot \mathbf{n}_s \alpha_s(\omega)}, \] (2)

where \( \mathbf{r}_d \) is the QD position, \( \alpha_s(\omega) = \frac{e^2}{\hbar^2} \frac{2 \omega}{\omega^2 - \omega^2 - \mathrm{i} \omega \Gamma_d} \) is the bare (no radiative or nonradiative coupling) exciton polarizability, and \( \hat{d}_s(\omega) = -i \mu_s \hat{\sigma}^- (t = 0)/(\omega - \omega_s) + \hat{\sigma}^+ (t = 0)/(\omega + \omega_s) \) is a quantum dipole source that originates from the excited QD. Without phonon interactions, the above operator is exact within the stated model approximations. The propagator \( G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \omega) \) is the transverse\textsuperscript{38} photon Green function of the medium, from an excited (and single) QD, so we treat the initial field as a suitable initial condition for exciting the medium. Consequently, one has a slight modification to the above spectra, resulting in

\[ S_r(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = F_r(\mathbf{r}) \Gamma_{\text{rad}} \]
\[ \times \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\omega_x + \omega}{\omega_x^2 - \omega^2 - i \omega \Gamma_x - \frac{4 \omega^2 \omega_x}{\omega_x^2 - \omega^2 - i \omega \Gamma_x}} \end{vmatrix}^2, \] (3)

\[ S_s(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = F_s(\mathbf{r}) \Gamma_c \]
\[ \times \begin{vmatrix} \frac{2 \omega_0 (\omega_x + \omega)}{\omega_x^2 - \omega^2 - i \omega \Gamma_x - \frac{4 \omega^2 \omega_x}{\omega_x^2 - \omega^2 - i \omega \Gamma_x}} \end{vmatrix}^2, \] (4)

with the total spectrum \( S_t(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = S_r(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + S_s(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \), where \( F_{r/c}(\mathbf{r}) \) represent the geometrical factors that depend upon the collection geometry of the emitted light. We emphasize that radiative coupling to the cavity system is fully included by coupling to both the continuum of radiation modes and the leaky cavity mode, where the latter has a decay rate given by \( \Gamma_c \). Additional broadening of the ZPL has been included, phenomenologically, through \( \Gamma_x = \Gamma_{\text{rad}} + \Gamma_c \), with \( \Gamma_c \) due to pure dephasing processes; in general, for off-resonant continuous wave (cw) pumping, both phonon effects and spectral diffusion will enhance \( \Gamma_c \).\textsuperscript{9}

It is important to note that, in a planar photonic crystal structure, both \( S_r \) and \( S_s \) decay channels contribute to vertical photon emission. The radiation-mode decay is due to coupling to the continuum of radiation modes above the slab light line.\textsuperscript{33} For a micropillar cavity system,\textsuperscript{45} typically only the cavity emission is required in an identical form to above, and so the prescription above applies to a wide range of semiconductor cavity systems (and noncavity systems, if \( G \) is known).

To include phonon interactions in a simple but rigorous way, we assume that the cavity and phonon correlation functions can be decoupled, and add in the phonon polarizability via the known phonon self-energy \( \Sigma_{\text{ph}}(\omega) \) from the IBM. In essence, we are considering the optical polarizability of the QD in the presence of phonons as the exact perturbation to the medium. Consequently, one has a slight modification to the above spectra, resulting in

\[ S_r(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = F_r(\mathbf{r}) \Gamma_{\text{rad}} \]
\[ \times \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\omega_x + \omega}{\omega_x^2 - \omega^2 - i \omega \Gamma_x - \omega \Sigma_{\text{ph}}(\omega) - \frac{4 \omega^2 \omega_x}{\omega_x^2 - \omega^2 - i \omega \Gamma_x}} \end{vmatrix}^2, \] (5)

\[ S_s(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = F_s(\mathbf{r}) \Gamma_c \]
\[ \times \begin{vmatrix} \frac{2 \omega_0 (\omega_x + \omega)}{\omega_x^2 - \omega^2 - i \omega \Gamma_x - \omega \Sigma_{\text{ph}}(\omega) - \frac{4 \omega^2 \omega_x}{\omega_x^2 - \omega^2 - i \omega \Gamma_x}} \end{vmatrix}^2, \] (6)

where the cavity emission is similar in form to the one presented by Tarel and Savona,\textsuperscript{35} where phonons were included to second order and a rotating-wave approximation was made. As limits, we obtain the correct IBM spectral form for exciton decay and earlier derived spectra for semiconductor cavities.\textsuperscript{33}

We also obtain ZPL broadening associated with the leaky cavity system.

In the spirit of deriving a simple analytic solution, with phonons included to all orders, the strategy is to use an analytic phonon self-energy at the level of the IBM. To do this, we exploit phonon spectral functions, similar to the ones used by Wilson-Rae and Imamoglu,\textsuperscript{15} but we use a more appropriate spectral function for phonon interactions via a deformation potential\textsuperscript{46} (this form is known to account for the major phonon interactions in our considered QD); similar spectral functions are commonly used when describing LA-phonon coupling. To obtain the phonon self-energy, the IBM time-dependent phase must be added into the Lorentzian decay model for the exciton, obtained from

\[ \psi(t) = \int_0^\infty d\omega J(\omega)/\omega^2 [\coth(\beta \hbar \omega/2) \cos(\omega t) - i \sin(\omega t)], \] (7)

which describes the electron-LA-phonon interaction at temperature \( T = 1/\beta \hbar k_b \). The LO interaction can also give rise to dephasing when confined LO phonons with a finite lifetime are considered\textsuperscript{47,48} or when LO phonons couple to higher states of the QD or to the continuum of the wetting layer.\textsuperscript{49,50}
However, since these additional couplings are neglected, the LO interaction does not contribute to the dephasing and is not considered in this work. We consider a spherical QD model, with similar electron localization lengths in the valence and conduction bands \((l_e = l_h \approx 5 \text{ nm})\). Generalizing to include electrons and holes with different localization lengths is straightforward, but the equations become unnecessarily complicated. Thus, a representative spectral function can be conveniently defined as

\[
J_0(\omega) = a_p \omega^3 \exp \left(-\frac{\omega^2}{2\omega_0^2}\right),
\]

where we use \(\omega_0 = 1 \text{ meV}\) and \(a_p/(2\pi)^2 = 0.06 \text{ ps}^2\) as typical numbers for InAs-type QDs.\(^{19,15}\) The deformation coupling constant used here is somewhat smaller than the value used in Ref. 21 [where \(a_p/(2\pi)^2 \approx 0.1 \text{ ps}^2\)], although in the literature, there are no well-accepted values for InAs QDs; moreover, the dimensionless Huang-Rhys \(S_{HR} = a_p/(2\pi)^2 c^2/l^2_0 \approx 0.034\) (where \(c_1 = 3800 \text{ m/s}\) is the speed of sound) has been shown to be significantly enhanced in QDs (i.e., from its bulk value); for example, for InAs/GaAs QDs, \(S_{HR} = 0.01–0.5\) (Ref. 52) and \(S_{HR} = 0.5\) (Ref. 53) have been reported, and various mechanisms for such enhancements have been proposed, including defects and nonadiabatic effects. The phonon interactions also result in a polaron shift \(\Delta = \int_0^{\infty} \text{d} \omega J_0(\omega)/\omega = S_{HR} \omega_0 \sqrt{\pi/2} \approx 42 \mu\text{eV}\). In what follows below, we neglect the polaron shift as it merely adds a fixed frequency shift to the exciton resonance, and we can always redefine \(\omega x\), so our \(\omega x\) includes the polaron shift. We also point out that there will likely be other nondiagonal phonon couplings as well and so, in principle, the phonon parameters above could be varied and used to fit experiments.

With the above analytical form for the phonon bath, the time-dependent polarizability takes the form \(\alpha_s(t) = \alpha_s(0) \exp[-i(\omega_x + \Delta - i\Gamma_s) t + \psi(t)]\), and the frequency-dependent polarizability is obtained from a simple Fourier transform, yielding

\[
\alpha_s(\omega) = \frac{d^2\omega_0}{\hbar \epsilon_0} \frac{\omega^2 \omega_x}{\omega^2 - \omega^2 - i\hbar\Gamma_s - \omega \Sigma_{ph}(\omega)}.
\]

To help better explain the phonon coupling effects shown later, in Fig. 1 we show the phonon self-energies for the two different temperatures of (a) \(T = 4 \text{ K}\) and (b) \(T = 40 \text{ K}\). We recognize a local minimum in \(\text{Im}[\Sigma_{ph}]\) (red solid curve) near \(\omega x\), a maximum in \(\text{Im}[\Sigma_{ph}]\) near 1 meV, and significant \(\text{Re}[\Sigma_{ph}]\) (blue dashed curve) over a broad spectral range. These results imply that both the real and imaginary contributions will have a significant impact on phonon coupling effects in the regime of cavity QED.

As mentioned above, our general methodology is similar in spirit to a semiclassical approach. Specifically, we have assumed a well-defined spectral lineshape for the QD susceptibility, and then coupled this QD frequency response, with phonons included self-consistently, to the medium-dependent Green functions to obtain the analytical spectra. By comparing, e.g., with the approach of Wilson-Rae and Imamoglu, they have in their system Hamiltonian a phonon-modified cavity coupling rate\(^{15}\) \(g \to g \langle B \rangle\), with \(\langle B \rangle = \exp[-0.5 \int_0^{\infty} \text{d} \omega J_0(\omega) \coth(\beta \hbar \omega/2)]\), which, using the parameters above for \(T = 4–40 \text{ K}\), is around \(\langle B \rangle = 0.91–0.55\). We do not have this term explicitly, however, our self-energy term naturally includes such coupling. To make this clear, we can rewrite the solution, e.g., for the cavity-mode emission, as

\[
S_c(\omega, \omega) = F_c(\omega) \Gamma_c + \frac{2g_{ao}(\omega + \omega_0)}{\omega - \omega - i\hbar\Gamma_c} \left(1 + \frac{\omega \Sigma_{ph}}{\omega - \omega - i\hbar\Gamma_c} + \cdots\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

where the real part of the phonon self-energy causes a reduction in the \(g\) coupling.\(^{18}\)

**III. THEORETICAL SIMULATIONS AND PREDICTIONS**

We first clarify that, with no phonon coupling included, our Green function technique yields identical normalized spectra to a master-equation approach in the low-power (excitation) limit. Specifically, we use the equations in Ref. 38 with a low-power incoherent exciton pump, and we compute the total spectra from both cavity emission and QD emission in the presence of pure dephasing; with the Green function approach, we define \(\Gamma_{ZPL} = \Gamma_{m} + \Gamma_s\). These spectral forms are found to be identical, as previously discussed,\(^{33}\) so the general phenomenon of cavity feeding is not unique to pure dephasing processes. The only difference is the overall magnitude, which is due to the different initial conditions. Any exciton broadening (radiative or nonradiative) will feed the cavity mode in the cavity-mode emission and scale with the temperature of (a) \(T = 4 \text{ K}\) and (b) \(T = 40 \text{ K}\). Fig. 1 shows the phonon self-energies for two different temperatures (\(T = 4\) and \(40 \text{ K}\)), where the red (solid) curves represent the imaginary contribution and the blue (dashed) curves represent the real contribution. The broadening parameters are \(\Gamma_{m} = 2 \mu\text{eV}\) and \(\Gamma_s = 75 \mu\text{eV}\). As discussed in the text, \(\omega x\) is considered to already include a polaron shift.
FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Cavity-emitted spectra for various QD cavity detunings, obtained for a temperature of $T = 4$ K. The red (dark) and grey (light) curves display the Green function solution with and without LA phonon interactions. The corresponding imaginary part of the polarizability with [red (dark) curve] and without [grey (light) curve] phonon coupling is shown in (b). The lower-frequency phonon bath is suppressed in part due to the low temperatures and in part due to the relatively large ZPL. The polaron shift $\Delta \sim 30 \mu$eV is not included as this just adds in a fixed resonance shift for all detunings and temperatures. The parameters are $\Gamma_{rad} = 2 \mu$eV, $\omega_{x} = 830$ meV, $\Gamma'_{x} = 75 \mu$eV, $\Gamma_{s} = 100 \mu$eV, and $g = 0.13$ meV (see Ref. 55).

IV. COMPARISON WITH RECENT EXPERIMENTAL DATA ON A SINGLE QD PHOTONIC CRYSTAL CA VITY SYSTEM

We now apply our analytic theory to help explain the model discrepancies that were previously employed for simulating experimental data. Very recent experiments by Dalacu et al. 34 reported measurements on a single QD cavity system at low power and, using a master-equation theory of Refs. 38 and 57, clearly demonstrated that an extra cavity-pump term had to be included by hand to explain their data. The cavity-pump contribution was found to be cavity QD detuning dependent. The origin of this cavity pump was unknown and cited to be somewhat mysterious for its excitation conditions and sample, but similar couplings have been shown already to be due to electron-phonon coupling 19,21,23. The site-controlled QD allows one to suppress other extraneous cavity feeding
mechanisms, and, for detunings greater than 5 meV, experiments show no cavity-mode emission at low temperatures; this is expected for a single exciton-cavity coupling since the cavity-mode emission diminishes as a function of detuning.

The single QD cavity system is realized by nucleating one InAs QD at the apex of a InP pyramid (see Fig. 4) grown using selective-area epitaxy. Dot formation on these InP pyramidal nanotemplates proceeds via the Stranski-Krastanow growth mode similar to growth on planar substrates, although some subtleties of the strain distribution will differ due to the proximity of the \{110\} planes that make up the sidewalls of the InP pyramid. Once planarized, however, any signature of the InP pyramid vanishes, leaving a coherent InAs dot in a uniform InP matrix. One distinction between planar and site-controlled dots pertains to the wetting layer. Although the presence of a wetting layer is assumed, its lateral extent is limited to the apex of the pyramid and is thus only slightly larger than the QD. The site-controlled dots are therefore expected to have a modified shape compared to planar dots, and the absence of an infinite two-dimensional wetting layer. Although these differences do not manifest in the electronic structure of the dots, the absence of a wetting layer and associated continuum of states may have implications related to additional nonresonant dot-cavity coupling. Clearly, reducing unknown and unwanted excitation mechanisms should be avoided from a practical perspective, which makes dots without a wetting layer advantageous, e.g., for creating cavity-assisted single photons on demand.

![FIG. 4. A single InAs quantum dot nucleated at the apex of a InP pyramid. The pyramid is grown using selective-area epitaxy on an electron-beam patterned SiO2-coated InP substrate. The scale bar is 210 nm.](image)

![FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) Recently published experimental data [Dalaca et al. (Ref. 34)], taken at \(T = 4\) K, normalized to show the effect of detuning on the exciton and cavity mode. (b) Theoretical simulations with [red (dark)] and without [grey (light)] phonon interactions. The parameters are similar to those used in Fig. 1, except that \(\Gamma' = 150\) \(\mu\)eV and we have convolved with a Lorentzian function with FWHM \(\Gamma_{\text{spec}} = 250\) \(\mu\)eV to account for the spectral resolution in the experiment (Ref. 34). The detunings are not exactly fit to experiment, but rather are chosen to cover a similar range to the experiments with equal frequency spacing. Both sets of calculations are normalized to their peak value for clarity. We also include the radiation-mode emission, with \(F_r = 2F_c\).](image)
Our final spectral forms are analytic; since our model includes both real and imaginary phonon self-energy terms with enhanced cavity feeding are demonstrated, showing the need to include both real and imaginary phonon self-energy terms with internal coupling. The model was then applied to help explain experimental data as a function of cavity-exciton detuning and as a function of temperature; good agreement has been found without artificially adding in a cavity-mode pump, as was done previously. The phonon-induced cavity coupling thus mimics an incoherent cavity-pump term, which naturally would be detuning dependent because of the asymmetric phonon bath. Our results and general predictions are also consistent with the recent data and polaron master-equation simulations of Ota et al., including the prediction of an asymmetric doublet on resonance.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a Green function theory to describe photon emission in arbitrary QD cavity systems without recourse to either the weak-coupling regime or a perturbative approximation for the phonons. We have exploited this approach to model the strong-coupling regime between a single exciton and a photonic crystal cavity, and, using a simple initial condition of an inverted electron-hole pair, obtained the emission spectra for various dot-cavity detunings. Phonon-related effects such as cavity-mode suppression and enhanced cavity feeding are demonstrated, showing the need to include both real and imaginary phonon self-energy terms with internal coupling. The model was then applied to help explain experimental data as a function of cavity-exciton detuning and as a function of temperature; good agreement has been found without artificially adding in a cavity-mode pump, as was done previously. The phonon-induced cavity coupling thus mimics an incoherent cavity-pump term, which naturally would be detuning dependent because of the asymmetric phonon bath. Our results and general predictions are also consistent with the recent data and polaron master-equation simulations of Ota et al., including the prediction of an asymmetric doublet on resonance.
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P. J. Poole, D. Dalacu, J. Lefebvre, and R. L. Williams, Nanotechnology 21, 295302 (2010).


This $g$ corresponding to $V_{ab} = 4 \times 10^{-20}$ m$^{-1}$, $d = 60$ Debye, $\epsilon = 12$, $A_s = 0.7$ with $A_t$ the spatial and spectral coupling parameter to the antinode. Note that even at 4 K, the interaction of phonons reduces this value of $g$ by approximately 10%, consistent with the estimate using $(B(T = 4 K)) \approx 0.9$ (Ref. 15).


P. J. Poole, D. Dalacu, J. Lefebvre, and R. L. Williams, Nanotechnology 21, 295302 (2010).